

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/580,691	05/26/2006	Makoto Itagaki	Q95081	4381		
23377			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			KUMAR, SE	KUMAR, SHAILENDRA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	71, DC 20057	1621				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			12/29/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/580,691	ITAGAKI, MAKOTO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SHAILENDRA KUMAR	1621		

-	LAUIIIIICI	ALC OILL					
	SHAILENDRA KUMAR	1621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. L'Edensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is generally an extra management of the provision of the provision of a state of reply within the sat or extended period for reply with by statisfied period for reply with the state of the provision of the	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	a <u>y 2006</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
<li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li>							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1.☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
occurs attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	6						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary     Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)	5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/26/06, 2/28/07.	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/580,691 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

## DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-8 are pending in this application.

### Priority

 Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 5/26/06 and 2/28/07 are
in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information
disclosure statements have been considered by the examiner.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Application/Control Number: 10/580,691

Art Unit: 1621

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

 Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over combined teachings of Brown et al(Synthetic Communication, 1988), Weissberg et al(Synlett, 2002) and JP 05-221935.

Instant claims are drawn to a method for producing an optically active bisamidoalcohol compound represented by the formula (3) of claim 1, by reacting an optically active aminoalcohol compound represented by the formula (1) of claim 1: with a diester compound represented by the formula (2) of claim 1, and also a process of making amino alcohol starting from amino ester..

Brown et al and Weissberg et al are teaching a process of preparing bisamido alcohol by reacting an optically active amino alcohol with a diester in the presence of lithium hydroxide or lithium hydride, see Brown et al pages 1804-1805, and Weissberg et al., page 248, column 1. The difference between the reference and herein claimed process is that the references are not teaching the process of making amino alcohol.

JP 935 is cited to show that an amino alcohol can be made by reacting optically active amino acid or ester with a borohydride, See page 3 of the translation.

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Brown et al or Weissberg et al, by starting with amino alcohol prepared by JP'935, because JP'935 is expressly teaching the process of making amino alcohol starting from amino acid or ester in the presence of boron compound, with the reasonable expectation of achieving a successful process of obtaining a bisamidophenol, absent evidence to the contrary.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,691

Art Unit: 1621

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHAILENDRA -. KUMAR whose telephone number is (571)272-0640. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 8:00-5:30. Alt Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Sullivan can be reached on (571)272-0779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SHAILENDRA - KUMAR/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621

S. Kumar 12/16/08